

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,360	02/13/2006	Marinus Gerardus Johannus Van Beuningen	6595954	1051
7550 AMSTER, ROTHEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP 90 PARK AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			YU, MELANIE J	
NEW YORK,	NY 10016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/568,360 VAN BEUNINGEN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MELANIE YU 1641 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/0E)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ________

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/568,360

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-22, 24 and 25 are drawn to a system comprising the special technical feature of a flat top surface bonded to the bottom of a first rigid support.

Group II, claim(s) 3 and 6 are drawn to a system comprising the special technical feature of part of the pores masked by filling the pores with a masking polymer.

Group III, claim(s) 23 is drawn to a method comprising the special technical feature of attaching polymers to pores.

1. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the products do not share a special technical relationship over the prior art and the method and product claims to more than one of the combinations of inventions as set forth by 37 CFR 1.475.

According to 37 CFR 1.475 regarding unity of invention:

(a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of invention is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features " shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/568,360

Art Unit: 1641

(b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process: or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features. The term "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution with each of the inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made based on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings.

The products recited in groups I and II do not share a special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. Hess et al. (US 2002/0094533) teach a system comprising a reaction substrate with a multiplicity of reactor zones (plurality of through holes having immobilized probes, par. 15), the reaction substrate having a substantially flat top surface and a substantially flat bottom surface (top and bottom are flat, Fig. 1), the reaction substrate being porous with a multiplicity if essentially parallel pores enabling the liquid flow through (high density of holes, par. 10; holes are parallel, par. 224), the pores having a diameter of less than 1 μ m or less than 100 nm (par. 96), which is encompassed by the range of about 10 μ m to 10 nm.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,360

Art Unit: 1641

Applicant's are allowed at most one product and one method of making in a single general inventive concept. However, the products and method of making do not form a general inventive concept because they do not share a special technical feature over the prior art. Hess et al. teach the product of group I, wherein a system comprising a reaction substrate with a multiplicity of reactor zones (plurality of through holes having immobilized probes, par. 15), the reaction substrate having a substantially flat top surface and a substantially flat bottom surface (top and bottom are flat, platen is the reaction substrate, Fig. 1; when three platens are present, middle plate is the reaction substrate, par. 99), the reaction substrate being porous with a multiplicity of essentially parallel pores enabling the liquid flow through (high density of holes, par. 10; holes are parallel, par. 224), the pores having a diameter of less than 1 μm or less than 100 nm (through-hole cross-section, par. 96), which is encompassed by the recited range of about 10 µm to 10 nm, and the flat top surface bonded to the bottom of a first rigid support (three platens are stacked, center platen is the reaction substrate and the top platen is the rigid support, par. 99; the top platen is made from a rigid material, par. 95; upper surface of bottom platen, reaction substrate, is bonded to the top surface of the upper platen, rigid support, par. 11) the rigid support comprising a multiplicity of through going holes extending from the top of the rigid support to the bottom of the rigid support (top platen is rigid support and has through holes, platens are stacked and can have the same arrangement of through holes, par. 98-99), wherein the through going holes define reactor zones (plurality of through holes have immobilized probes, par. 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/568,360

Art Unit: 1641

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELANIE YU whose telephone number is (571)272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Shibuya can be reached on (571) 272-0806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,360 Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melanie Yu/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641